

Small Flume Experiment for the Transport Evaluation of Carbo-Iron® Particles in a Confined Aquifer

Giulia Giannelli ⁽¹⁾, Steffen Bleyl ⁽³⁾, Rajandrea Sethi ⁽²⁾, Jürgen Braun ⁽¹⁾ ⁽¹⁾ VEGAS, University of Stuttgart; ⁽²⁾ POLITO, Torino; ⁽³⁾ UFZ, Leipzig

www.nanorem.eu

NanoRem is a four year, €14 million research project funded through the European Commission FP7.

Motivation

- Carbo-Iron[®] is a new injectable composite material which targets both chlorinated solvents plume and source remediation:
- Carbo-Iron particles consist of clusters of **nZVI** embedded in colloidal activated carbon (AC) particles
- The AC framework functions as a spacer between the NZVI structures lowering their agglomeration tendency which leads to **better transport**
- The addition of the environmentally benign stabilizer **CMC** further enhances suspension stability and mobility by electrosteric stabilization
- A suspension containing **20g/L Carbo-Iron** and **4g/L CMC** was identified as the best recipe for fully **optimized transport**
- Carbo-Iron contains 10-25w/w% of nZVI:



Carbo-Iron

Mobility of Carbo-Iron

- Homogeneous and fast spreading of particles
- No pore clogging
- **Mobility Factor** for transport assessment:

$$M [-] = \frac{V_{NP}}{V_{inj}} = \frac{A_{spread} * W * n}{V_{inj}}$$

- Ratio between volume from the visual spreading and volume injected
- M = 0: infinite retention
- M = 1: conservative tracer



Time lapse analysis from the first injection. (Relative time in upper centre)

- one single injection (ZVI = 1.48 g/L_{soil}) of the optimized suspension into the source zone (PCE = $0.5g/L_{soil}$) does not suffice for a successful remediation (ZVI=2.6 g/L_{soil})

Goals



- Small Flume Experiment
- Test of a **multi-step injection strategy** to emplace and accumulate a sufficient mass of Carbo-Iron in a predefined target zone
- Optimization of the injection interval needed to let the previous particles settle
- Confirmation of the Carbo-Iron **enhanced mobility** features in a quasi 2D system
- Procedure for comparison of particle migration using suspensions of different particles

2D Flume



Stainless steel flume:

- L/W/H = 1.00/0.12/0.70 m (quasi 2D)
- Frontal glass pane for visual observation
- **Confined aquifer** simulation:
- Dorsilit n°8 sand (0.3-0.8mm), degassed water

- Migration comparison between Carbo-Iron and NANOFER 25s[®]
 - **Carbo-Iron** may be considered **perfectly mobile** particles



Spreading area at the end of Carbo-Iron (left) and N 25s (right) injection

Parameter	Carbo-Iron	NANOFER 25s
PVinj [-]	0.58	1.64
final As [m ²]	0.34	0.16
M [-]	0.95	0.11
α[-]	0.61	0.14
Fe(0) [_{w/w} %]	10-25	80-90



α [-] angular coefficient							
C-I	0.61						
N25s	0.14						

Emplacement of Carbo-Iron

Particles which are still suspended in the pore water \bullet are pushed away by the new injected suspension



p	-	Л		I			
11 mir	1		5	7 1	1/	g/L	400
A.	E.		15	21		E O	300



Outlet Constant head #2 DORSILIT n°8 GEBA + quartz powde

Measure software

- Horizontal base flow

IBC containe

OUTLET

OUTLET

n°

inj

2

3

- Inflow BC: constant flux, outflow BC: constant head
- Porosity: 0.37, PV_{flume} = 26 L
- average hydraulic conductivity: 5.87 10⁻⁰⁵ m/s

Measurements:

- MID (total base flow)
- pressure transducers (Δ h in-out)
- optical fibers (fluorescence)
- **Uranine Tracer Test:** \bullet
- visual
- Using optical fibers

Experimental Procedure

CO₂ Bottle

Suspension preparation:

Flowmeter () ()

Base flow set up

- 20 g/L Carbo-Iron, 4 g/L CMC
- Hydraulic mixer, disperser, argon supply
- Three Carbo-Iron **Multi-Step injections**:
- **no base flow,** outflow BC: constant head



¥ 2000⊦

Expansion of the particle zone during the 2nd injection; (left) 4 min and (right) 11 min after start of the 2nd injection

- CMC and Carbo-Iron breakthrough curves in the outflow Carbo-Iron BTC shows a rising trend
- Particles migrate downwards during the recovery intervals in the absence of external \bullet gradients as well as during the restoration of the base flow (presumable due to higher) density of the suspension)
- After restart (restoration) of base flow particles not yet immobilized are further \bullet transported in the direction of flow



Time lapse analysis during the 24h recovery interval (left) and the restoration of the base flow (right)

- Analysis of solid samples and **concentration mapping**
- Max ZVI available with a single injection of 1PV (15 L): 1.48 g/L_{soil}
- Max ZVI remaining in the target zone after injection of 2.3PV (35 L): 1.36 g/L_{soil} < 1.48 g/L_{soil}

- 4 **injection ports** at the back side of the flume
- liquid samples from outflow
- continuous monitoring of injection pressure
- **Recovery intervals**:
- No base flow
- 1^{st} recovery: d = 24 h, 2^{nd} recovery: d = 48 h
- **Restoration** of the base flow:
- horizontal base flow
- q = 1.1 *10⁻⁰⁵ m/s , d = 33 h

Back side of the flume

Conclusions and Outlook

For perfectly mobile particles the **Multi-Step Injection** method does **not** increase the amount of ZVI emplaced

The **suspension** needs to be **tuned for better control**.

"Deoptimization" of the suspension is achieved adjusting CMC concentration from 4g/L to 1g/L (presentation S. Bleyl).







NanoRem - Taking Nanotechnological Remediation Processes from Lab Scale to End User Applications for the Restoration of a Clean Environment This project received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7 / 2007-2013) under Grant Agreement No. 309517. This poster reflects only the author's views. The European Union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein. www.nanorem.eu